

Residents Survey - Results

We sought your views on matters concerning the community at Worlds End. Here is an analysis of your answers.

The number of questionnaires distributed was 300, and 113 completed questionnaires were returned - a 37% response.

Our thanks to all of those who found the time to respond. From the the supplementary information attached to question answers, it is clear that you gave careful thought to the type of answers you wanted recorded. The results make interesting reading but more importantly give your committee a clear indication on future courses of action to take on residents' behalf.

We have carried out the survey to the best of our ability but we are not professional pollsters, so there may be one or two bits that don't quite line up, and perhaps some questions could have been framed better, but the trends are quite obvious and are most useful to the committee.

Thank you

Response

Questions 1 - 5 were to establish location of respondents, age, gender etc.

113 households

Gender makeup of households:

Female: 145 Male: 143

Where is your household located?

East Sussex: 9%

West Sussex: 91%

What age group do you belong to?

11 - 15	4.9%
16 - 17	1.2%
18 - 24	6.5%
25 - 44	19.9%
45 - 59	31.3%
60 - 64	12.6%
65 - 74	16.7%
75 - 84	5.7%
85 +	1.2%



Q 6 Do you consider the current safety proposals at the railway bridge satisfactory? e.g. new pedestrian crossing and proposed widening of the station side pavement.

YES 57% MAYBE 30% NO 12%

Q 7 If NO to Q 6, do you have any suggestions? Replies included: pedestrian tunnel, better station access, road humps, and one way traffic.

Q 8 Should the speed limit (30mph) in Worlds End be lowered? NO 59% Reduce to 20mph 32% Reduce to 15mph 7%

Q 9 Should there be a car park at Wivelsfield station? YES 78% NO 19% NO OPINION 2%

Q 10 Is the traffic through Worlds End creating a problem for you? YES 46% NO 53%

Q 11 Would you support a northern by-pass to relieve the traffic load through Worlds End? YES 42% NO 34% NO OPINION 24%

Q 12 The railway lands from Grange Close to the level crossing are to be put up for auction. Should most of this land be used for:-

RECREATION 44%

HOUSING 10%

LEFT AS IS 41%.

Suggestions were: parkland, car park, supermarket, scout hut.

Q 16 How do you rate sports facilities in Worlds End?

GOOD 15%

FAIR 37%

POOR 28%

NO OPINION 21%

Replies inc. play area upgrade, tennis court, cricket, multi purpose court, skateboard park, cycle track, gym trim trail, sport for older people (40-50), crazy/mini golf, squash, refreshments and pool.

Q 17 How often do you visit Bedelands Farm Local Nature Reserve?

OFTEN 27%

SELDOM 35%

NEVER 38%

Q 18 Has the station name of 'Wivelsfield' caused confusion in your experience? Would you like to see a change of name?

YES 42%

NO 52%

NO OPINION 6%

Q 19 If YES to Q 18, what name would you prefer?

BURGESS HILL NORTH 16%

WORLDS END 77%

OTHER 7%

Q 20 Do you consider the present County boundary, as described in the Introduction, satisfactory?

YES 43% NO 57%

A majority favoured adopting into West Sussex the St. Andrews Parish boundary which arcs from Oathall to the Valebridge Viaduct.

Q 21 Do you feel there should be a sign up indicating this is Worlds End?

YES 69%

NO 21%

NO OPINION 10%

Website

www.worlds-end.com

The Association website is up and running and you are encouraged to use it. There is more to come, with the addition of new sections, including a discussion group. We will use this to get more of your views, as we make plans based on the Survey results.

We hope members who are prevented by work and other commitments from getting involved with Association activities will use the website to become active virtual members.